

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b></p>	<p><b>Application No.</b> 10/775,719</p>	<p><b>Applicant(s)</b> KRISHNAMOORTHY, RAGHURAMAN</p>	
<p><b>Examiner</b> Min Jung</p>	<p><b>Art Unit</b> 2616</p>		

**All Participants:** (1) Min Jung. (2) Stanton Braden.

**Date of Interview:** 24 September 2008

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 2PM

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
 Claims discussed:  
 1-7 and 17-25  
  
 Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**  
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Mr. Braden agreed to cancel claims 1-7 and 17-25 as they are directed to non-elected inventions, and since claims 8-16 are allowable.*

**Part III.**  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)